



IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT MADRAS

DATED : 02.07.2025

WEB COPY

CORAM :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE KRISHNAN RAMASAMY

Writ Petition No.16289 of 2025
and W.M.P.No.18417 of 2025

M/s.Rivera Enterprises
Rep by its Proprietor
Mr.Ganesha Murthy,
2/111 Uthukattai Amman Kovil Street,
Chinnama Nagar, Thaiyur
Kancheepuram 603103.

... Petitioner

Vs.

1. The Deputy State Tax Officer
Keelambakkam Assessement Circle,
Chengalpattu.
2. The Deputy Commissioner (Appeal)
1 Perarignar Anna Platinum Jubilee Building,
Commercial Taxes Department
Greams Road, Thousand Lights West
Thousand Lights, Chennai-600006.

... Respondents

PRAYER: Writ Petition filed under Article 226 of Constitution of India,



praying for issuance of Writ of Certiorarified Mandaums, to call for the records (1)ZD3304242370112 dated 29.04.2024 passed by the 1st respondent, and direct the 1st respondent to drop the recovery proceeding as same is covered under amended provision of Section 16 (4) and 16(5) of CGST Act, 2017.

For Petitioner : Mr.K.Sureshkumar
For Respondents : Mrs.K.Vasanthamala,
Government Advocate (Taxes)

ORDER

The challenge in this writ petition is to the order passed by the 1st respondent dated 29.04.2024 and direct the 1st respondent to drop the recovery proceeding as same is covered under amended provision of Section 16 (4) and 16(5) of CGST Act, 2017.

2. At the threshold, learned counsel appearing for the petitioner would submit that the issue involved in this Writ Petition is no longer *res integra*, as it has already been decided by this Court in a batch of Writ



Petitions, viz, in W.P.No.25081 of 2024, etc dated 17.10.2024. Therefore, the

learned counsel prays that the benefit of the said order dated 17.10.2024, may extended to the petitioner herein as well.

3. The learned Government Advocate (Taxes) for respondent also fairly conceded that the issue involved in this Writ Petition is covered by the decision relied on by the petitioner.

4. Considering the fact that the legal issue involved in this Writ Petition has already been dealt with by this Court in a batch of Writ Petitions, viz., in W.P.No.25081 of 2024, etc dated 17.10.2024, this Court is inclined to dispose of the present Writ Petition on the same lines. For better appreciation, the operative portion of the said decision is as follows:-

" 9. The petitioners in all these Writ Petitions are registered dealers on the files of the respondent-Department under the provisions of the Goods and Service Tax Act, 2017/CGST Act 2017. Though the

Page No.3 of 10



WEB COPY



petitioners have filed GSTR-1 returns in time, however, insofar as claim of ITC is concerned, since the petitioners were faced with certain difficulties, such as Financial constraints (as there was complete lockdown due to outbreak Covid-19) health related ailments, fire accidents, they were unable to file GSTR-3B returns, which resulted them in not being able to claim ITC in time before the prescribed date. Whereas, the respondent-Department, without considering such vital aspects and that reasons for the delay is not deliberate, issued the show cause notices to the petitioners, proposing to reverse the ITC availed and went to the extreme level of confirming the proposals contained in the show cause notices by passing the impugned orders, whereby, the claim made by the petitioners for ITC was reversed and the petitioners have been directed to tax/penalty/interest. Aggrieved against the impugned orders, the petitioners are before this Court by way of present Writ Petitions seeking for setting aside the impugned orders.

10. After the filing of these Writ Petitions, certain development took place, i.e. that 53rd GST Council Meeting was held on 22.06.2024, and during the said Meeting, the GST Council recommended for extension of the deadline for availing ITC on any invoice or debit note under Section 16(4) of the CGST Act and this extension would be applicable to any GSTR-3B returns filed for the Fys 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21 with a new deadline deemed to be as "30.11.2021", to which, the Presidential Assent was also obtained by the Government of India on



WEB COPY



16.08.2024, whereby, the financial proposals of the Central Government for the Financial Year 2024-25 was given effect to vide Finance Act, (No.2) of 2024, and in view of the aforesaid enactment, the Ministry of Finance (Department of Revenue) Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs, issued a Notification, bearing No.17 of 2024-Central Tax, dated 27.09.2024, pursuant to which, a Circular No.237/31/2024-GST was issued by the Central Board of Indirect Taxes and Customs, which was addressed to all the Principal Chief Commissioners/Chief Commissioners/Principal Commissioners/Commissioners of Central Tax (All), thereby, clarifying the issues regarding implementation of provision of sub-section (5) and sub-section (6) in Section 16 of CGST Act, 2017, by virtue of the above notification, the impugned orders are no longer sustainable and liable to be quashed. In this context, it would be apposite to refer to both Section 16(4) of the CGST Act, 2017, as well as amendment made to Section 16 (4) by interpolations of sub-sections 16 (5) and (6), and by insertion of sub-section (5) to Section 16, which are extracted hereinunder:

Section 16 (4)

" A registered person shall not be entitled to take input tax credit in respect of any invoice or debit note for supply of goods or services or both after the thirtieth day of November following the end of financial year to which such invoice or debit note pertains or furnishing of the relevant annual return, whichever is earlier."

Section 16 (5) :-

"Notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section (4), in respect of an invoice or debit note for supply of goods or services or both pertaining to the Financial



WEB COPY



Years 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21, the registered persons shall be entitled to take input tax credit in any return under section 39 which is filed upto the thirtieth day of November, 2021."

10.1 Thus, a perusal of above Section 16 (5) makes it clear that 'notwithstanding anything contained in sub-section 4, referred to above, in respect of any invoice or debit note for supply of goods or services or both pertaining to the Fys 2017-18 to 2020-21, the registered persons shall be entitled to take ITC in any return under section 39 which is filed upto the 30th day of November, 2021.

10.2 Thus, this Court considering the fact that the issue involved in all these Writ Petitions is only with regard to the availment of ITC, which is barred by limitation in terms of Section 16 (4) of the CGST Act, and in the light of the subsequent developments took place, whereby, Section 16 of the CGST Act was amended and sub-section (5) was inserted to Section 16, which came into force with retrospective effect from 01.07.2017, the petitioners are entitled to avail ITC in respect of GSTR-3B filed in respect of FYs 2017-18, 2018-19, 2019-20 and 2020-21 as the case may be, on or before 30.11.2021, is inclined to quash the impugned orders.

11. Accordingly, this Court passes the following orders.

i) The orders impugned in all Writ Petitions are



WEB COPY



quashed insofar as it relates to the claim made by the petitioners for ITC which is barred by limitation in terms of Section 16 (4) of the CGST Act, 2017 but, within the period prescribed in terms of Section 16 (5) of the said Act.

ii) Therefore, the respondent-Department is restrained from initiating any proceedings against the petitioners by virtue of the impugned orders based on the issue of limitation.

iii) In view of the fact that the impugned orders are quashed, the respondent-Department is directed to take immediate steps towards de-freeze of the concerned petitioners bank accounts, which have been freezeed in furtherance of the impugned orders, by sending intimation to the concerned bankers.

iv) In the event, in the interregnum, i.e. during the pendency of these Writ Petitions, if any orders are proposed to be passed towards recovery, same shall be dropped immediately upon production of the order copy by the petitioners, in whichever case, where, there is no interim order.

v) It is also made clear that if at all, if there is any tax amounts were collected from the petitioners based on the impugned assessment orders from the cash ledgers/credit ledgers of the petitioners concerned, the same shall be refunded to them or by means of orders of this Court or even in the absence of any order from this Court, if any amount is deposited either in the cash ledgers/credit ledgers of the petitioners concerned, the same is permitted to be utilized/adjusted by the



WEB COPY



petitioners towards payment of future tax.

iii) Insofar as the apprehension expressed by the learned Additional Advocate General for the respondent-Department that in certain Writ Petition apart from the issue on limitation, challenges have also been made to the order related to issues such as discrepancies in availing the ITC/wrong avilment of ITC/excess claim of ITC/Fake ITC claim, as the case may be, or such other issues, liberty is be granted to the respondent-Department to proceed against the assessee/petitioners in furtherance of the impugned orders in accordance with law.

12. In the result, all the Writ Petitions are allowed on the aforesaid terms. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petitions are closed. "

5. Thus, following the aforesaid decision, the present Writ Petition is also allowed on the same lines. No costs. Consequently, connected Miscellaneous Petition is closed.

02.07.2025

Index : Yes/No
Speaking Order : Yes/No
Neutral Citation : Yes/No
Internet : Yes
arr

Page No.8 of 10



WEB COPY

To:

1. The Deputy State Tax Officer
Keelambakkam Assessement Circle,
Chengalpattu.
2. The Deputy Commissioner (Appeal)
1 Perarignar Anna Platinum Jubilee Building,
Commercial Taxes Department
Greams Road, Thousand Lights West
Thousand Lights, Chennai-600006.



www.gstpress.com



W.P.No.16289 of 2025

KRISHNAN RAMASAMY, J.

arr

WEB COPY

W.P.No.16289 of 2025

02.07.2025

Page No.10 of 10